UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,215	11/20/2003	Lucas Hendricus Robertus Maria Prinsen	VANDII	3193
6980 75 TROUTMAN SA	90 03/20/2007 NDERS LLP		EXAMINER	
600 PEACHTREE STREET, NE ATLANTA, GA 30308			CHARLES, MARCUS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3682	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		T	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,215	MARIA PRINSEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marcus Charles	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO c, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 D</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7.9 and 11 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7.9 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement.		
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). n(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the amendment filed 12-26-2006, which has been entered. Claims 1-7, 9 and 11 are currently pending.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show clearly the concave portion as described in the specification. It is not clear as to what is the concave region because the drawing clearly shows the distance region physically contacting the transition region with no other shaped region therebetween. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary. the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and

Art Unit: 3682

informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In addition, the drawing fails to show the concave region in a plane perpendicular to the circumferential direction of the belt. In addition, the reference numeral 43 does not show a round off surface. However, there is no curve that distinguished the concave region perpendicular to the belt running direction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 2 and 6-7, it is not clear as to what is being referred to as the concave portion. It appears the region created by the connection between the distance surface and the transition surface is the concave portion. However, there is no curve that distinguished the concave region perpendicular to the belt running direction. In addition, the phrase "first side" and "second sides" is confusing because it is not clear to how many first sides and second sides there are. It appears that there exist double inclusions of the first and second sides. Note claim 1 recites "a first side" and "a second side", and claims 2 and 7 also recite "a first side" and "a second side"

Application/Control Number: 10/719,215

Art Unit: 3682

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 9 and 11, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP (63-266248) to Anpo et al. Anpo et al. discloses transverse element comprising a supporting surface (see attached drawing), a pulley sheave contact surface (38), a transition region (see attached drawing in) which is connected to the supporting surface at one section and to the pulley sheave at another section, wherein the transition region inherently comprises a concave portion. Anpo et al. also disclose the concave region is in a plane perpendicular to the belt circumferential direction (see attached drawing)

In claim 2, note the transition edge region comprises a distance surface (see attached drawing) connecting to the pulley contact surface via the inherently concave portion.

In claims 9 and 11, Anpo et al. disclose the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,215

Art Unit: 3682

Page 5

4. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anpo et al. Anpo et al. fail to disclose the height difference between the supporting surface and the distance surface, and dimension of the distance surface in the horizontal transverse direction is al least 0.2 mm. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Anpo et al. such that the height difference between the supporting surface and the distance surface, and dimension of the distance surface in the horizontal transverse direction is al least 0.2 mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 6-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In addition, applicant must overcome the objection to the drawing.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 9 and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Regarding arguments to the drawing. The reference 43 and 40 do not clearly show a concave portion and a round portion.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/719,215

Art Unit: 3682

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 6

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3682

March 17, 2007



